## 1 THURSDAY SESSION, JANUARY 10, 2019, AT 12:00 P.M. 2. DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you all for holding I'm 3 going to put you on with Judge Polster. Thanks very much. 4 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, 12:05:11 5 everyone. 6 MR. WEINBERGER: Judge, this is Pete. 7 THE COURT: Yes, Pete? MR. WEINBERGER: Also on the line, who also is 8 9 present I should say, is Jonathan Blanton, representing 12:05:23 10 Governor-elect DeWine. And thank you. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 Yeah, I'm glad -- I'm glad Jonathan is staying on. A 13 credit to him and our Governor-elect, the new AG. All 14 right. 12:05:46 15 Well, I should say we have a Court Reporter. That was 16 the request of some of the parties, that we have one. 17 think it's probably a good idea. So we have a Court 18 Reporter. 19 This is our regularly scheduled status conference. 12:06:06 20 Thanks to everyone for the status report, which I've 21 reviewed. That -- a lot of pages in the status report was 22 devoted to IMS data, and I understand that Special Master 23 Cohen spent a good deal of time with everyone yesterday 2.4 dealing with that. So I'm not going to take that up. 12:06:43 25 There are a number of -- and also I think he spent a

fair amount of time working on the SOM, S-O-M matter, so I'm not going to address that any further.

There are a few things I do want to address. First, I had directed that the CMO for the Track 2 cases be submitted jointly by January 15th. I understand the parties have requested some additional time. So I'm extending that date to February 4th.

There's been some additional discovery, some submissions on the BIO Immersion Trip. I think everything has been produced that's supposed to be produced. If the Plaintiffs want to -- want the Court to -- feel the Court should take any further action, you need to file something and I'll consider it. My personal view is that while this was a very bad idea by whoever thought it up, I don't think any serious harm was done. But, certainly we're not going to have anything anywhere close or like that in the future. Everyone understands it was a very bad idea and it was poorly executed so -- but if the Plaintiffs think that I need to do something further, you got to file something.

There was a motion for leave to file a motion for sanctions that a number of Defendants filed wanting me to sanction the lawyers and Attorney General DeWine, who made statements on last month's 60 Minutes program. I watched the program, I've read the transcript. I wasn't happy to see and hear what I saw and heard. It was right up to the

12:07:20 5

2.

12:07:48 10

12:08:08 15

12:08:29 20

12:09:04 25

2.

12:11:04 25

12:10:32 20

12:10:09 15

12:09:31 5

12:09:53 10

edge of where anyone should go, but I'm not going to issue any more orders and I'm not going to grant leave to file that, but -- I'm not issuing any orders but I want to make clear that no lawyers and no clients are to be discussing or characterizing documents that have been filed subject to a protective order, and no one is to be maligning the character of anyone else, and no one is to be discussing, even in general terms, settlement discussions, other than to say everyone's working hard, which is what all I've been saying.

And I don't want to see anything more like I saw on 60 Minutes, and I don't want to see anything on the Defense side either. So everyone's going to be behaving professionally. That goes for the lawyers or the clients.

There was a motion that was just filed to disqualify one of the lawyers on the Defense side. I'm going to handle that in the ordinary course. So our response will be due January 23rd and a reply, January 30th. And then I'll address it.

I believe at the last call, I said that Special Master McGovern would be working with all counsel to come up with a workable trial plan for the September trial, and Special Master McGovern is redoubling his efforts to come up with some — with a trial that can — that is manageable and understandable and will be done within the time limits that

we've set, roughly three weeks.

I understand I had sort of a private meeting with Joe Rice and Sheila Birnbaum, and I just asked them how things are going in the MDL, and they said that everyone's working hard, which I knew, but they both felt that Special Master Cohen is overloaded with dealing with the privilege issue in all its myriad forms, and they felt he needed additional resources. So I'm going to confer with my team and figure out a way to get him the additional resources he needs.

It obviously will be helpful to him and whomever else is assigned to that task for everyone to work together and put these issues in categories because no one has the time or energy to go through thousands of documents one by one. So you organize them in categories and say all right, these are the issues that will be presented, and Special Master Cohen will make an order. And if people want to appeal, they can appeal it to me like we've been doing. But, we're not going to be going through document by document several thousand documents. So both sides need to work on that.

And I again want to emphasize I don't want to see any overdesignation of privilege documents because if I -- if I see that, I'm going to have to impose sanctions. Special Master Cohen has power to impose them. And if he doesn't, I'll have to. And I don't want to do that.

I thought the best time for our next call, unless

12:11:58 10

12:11:31 5

11

1

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

13

12

14

12:12:23 15

16

17

18

19

12:12:43 20

21

22

23

2.4

12:13:04 25

1 someone feels we need one sooner, I was going to propose 2. Wednesday, February 13th, at noon. We've set February 12th 3 and 13th for general meetings, whatever needs to be covered. 4 And it seems logical, as we've done this time, to have you noon on the second day for another one of these calls. And 6 that would mean the status report would be due Monday, 7 February 11th, at noon. Does anyone feel that's a problem or that we need to 8 9 have one sooner? If so, I can schedule one sooner. All right. 11 Well then hearing no objections, that's what we'll

have.

Let me see if there was -- all right. Judge Ruiz is working on the reports and recommendations on the dealing with the motions to dismiss in the Tribe cases. We all agree that would be the next focus, and he's working, he and his crew are working on that.

The status report reflects on Page 10, the meeting with the Court and representatives from the PBM Defendants. On December 5th we had that meeting, and we scheduled another meeting either February 12th or 13th. I'm still working on the schedule, but just so everyone knows, the second meeting will be February 12th or 13th.

Again, on Page 13 there, the Plaintiffs had filed a motion for approval of a short form complaint. The

12:13:41 5 12:14:09 10

> 12 13

12:14:43 15

17

16

14

18 19

12:15:05 20

21

22

23 24

12:15:29 25

1	Defendants filed their opposition on January 14th. I need a
2	reply by the Plaintiffs by January 14th. I will encourage
3	the parties to try to work together on this. I and
4	figure out figure it out. But if not, Special Master
12:15:52 5	Cohen will issue a ruling on it promptly after the reply.
6	There's briefing on McKesson's motion to compel the
7	production of the Ohio Automated RX Reporting System.
8	What what's the next Plaintiffs filed their response
9	on January 5th, and I guess a reply will be filed shortly,
12:16:28 10	and we'll address that.
11	LAW CLERK: When?
12	MR. BLANTON: This is Jonathan (on phone
13	but inaudible.)
14	THE COURT: Well, John, when do you think you
12:16:46 15	will have those replies?
16	MR. BLANTON: I believe tomorrow, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	MR. BLANTON: My understanding.
19	THE COURT: All right. Well, then Special
12:16:56 20	Master Cohen will address that. Okay. And on Page 14
21	MR. CHEFFO: Excuse me, your Honor. This is
22	Mark. I'm just confused. Who is Jonathan?
23	THE COURT: Oh. Jonathan Blanton from the
24	State of Ohio because this was
12:17:33 25	MR. BLANTON: That's by tomorrow and then

1 we'll file our reply. 2. THE COURT: All right. Maybe I had -- well, 3 I'm confused. You said McKesson filed the motion to compel 4 on December 31st. Plaintiffs filed the response on January 12:17:57 5 5th. All right. Then Ohio's going to file one by tomorrow, 6 the 11th, and then McKesson can file their reply. 7 When do you want to file your reply? 8 COUNSEL: Is five days okay? THE COURT: Well, I'll give you a week. 9 I'll 12:18:18 10 make it the 18th. All right? 11 COUNSEL: Thank you, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Reply. McKesson will reply the 13 18th. 14 Looking at the -- the related State cases on Page 14, 12:18:33 15 the second one, Oklahoma versus Purdue, et al., is scheduled 16 for May 28th. It's my understanding that that trial is 17 going forward. What about the first one, West Virginia 18 versus McKesson, April 30th? Anyone know anything about 19 that? 12:18:53 20 MR. HOBART: Your Honor, this is Geoff Hobart 21 from Covington. That trial date is still on the calendar 22 but it's certainly going to be continued due to some issues 23 with the election in West Virginia. So that -- that case --24 THE COURT: All right. 12:19:12 25 MR. HOBART: That trial date will be

12:20:44 20

12:20:20 15

12:19:27 5

12:19:57 10

1 continued.

THE COURT: Thank you. That's what I thought, but the second one, that's -- that would likely be the first trial of -- on these opioid matters. So I think that one's going forward. Okay.

I think that that covers everything in the joint status report and the other matters I have. Any questions about the things we — the things we've covered or anyone wants to say anything further? All right.

Well, thank you. Again, I want to appreciate all the hard work that all the lawyers on the phone and all the other lawyers who are assisting you are putting in. This is an extraordinarily difficult and complex set of cases. Now more than 1500. And we have the best lawyers in the country on all sides. I've got an exceptional team of Special Masters. But, it's clearly taxing everyone. The size, the complexity, the number of players, the overlap with the State courts, but I appreciate everyone's hard work.

And with that, we are adjourned. Thank you.

COUNSEL: Thank you.

(Proceedings adjourned at 12:20 p.m.)

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1244 Filed: 01/14/19 10 of 10. PageID #: 30690 CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. s/Shirle Perkins Shirle M. Perkins, RDR, CRR U.S. District Court - Room 7-189 801 West Superior Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 357-7106